

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,644	03/02/2004	Alan F. Rozich	PMCBIO 3.0-008	3048
530 7590 09/17/2007 LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK			EXAMINER	
			BARRY, CHESTER T	
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
anno de Pula 240 Communication	10/791,644	ROZICH, ALAN F.	
Response to Rule 312 Communication	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chester T. Barry	1724	

☐ The a) ☐	amendment filed on <u>24 August 2007</u> under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been: entered.
b) 🗌	entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.
c) 🗀	disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.  Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.
d) 🛭	disapproved. See explanation below.
e) 🗌	entered in part. See explanation below.
The p	proposed amendment seeks to correct a typographical error in the first paragraph of the specifciation. MPEP 714.16 is that
applio the tir disclo	sideration of an amendment under 37 CFR 1.312 cannot be demanded as a matter of right. Prosecution of an cation should be conducted before, and thus be complete including editorial revision of the specification and claims at me of the Notice of Allowance. However, where amendments of the type noted are shown (A) to be needed for proper usure or protection of the invention, and (B) to require no substantial amount of additional work on the part of the Office, may be considered and, if proper, entry may be recommended by the primary examiner."

In this case, the correction to the specification is of an editorial nature. Furthermore, even though the change would require no substantial amount of additional work on the part of the Office, the proposed change is not needed for proper disclosure

> Chester T. Barry 571-272-1152 direct

Art Unit: 1724

or protection of the invention.